

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,574	07/10/2006	Patrick Alexandre	127766	4331
25944 7590 OJIPF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			EXAMINER	
			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/585,574 ALEXANDRE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MATTHEW F. DESANTO 3763 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ________

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/585,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexandre et al. (US 2003/0050596) and further in view of Loomis et al. (USPN 6,004,287).
- 4. Alexandre et al. discloses a needleless syringe comprising a body that accommodates a cylindrical reservoir which is closed off by a displaceable upstream obturator (4) and by a displaceable downstream obturator (5) and which encloses an active principle, and comprising, downstream of this, a receptacle with at least one peripheral injection conduit, said receptacle bearing on the reservoir and comprising a bore (7, 27, 37, 57) in which the downstream obturator lodges when it is brought into contact with the bottom of the bore of said receptacle by the operation of a drive means that displaces the assembly of upstream obturator, liquid and downstream obturator, said syringe being but fails to teach a the lateral wall of the bore comprises at least one protuberance reducing the cross section relative to the upstream opening of the bore, and in that the internal volume of said bore permits clearance of the inlets of the peripheral conduits when the downstream obturator is lodged in the bore (figure 1-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/585,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

5. Loomis et al. discloses an injector that uses high pressure to impel articles through the outlet opening and a coupler (equivalent to the bore in the instant application) having geometrical shaped protuberance reducing the cross section of the coupler and wherein the protuberance is connected to the lateral wall of the coupler (figure 1, 5). Loomis et al. also discloses other structure that will reduce the shockwave of the plunger against the housing, see figure 24.

6. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Alexandre et al. with the teachings of Loomis et al. because Loomis et al. teaches a structure (the coupler) that will help in absorbing the shockwave of the piston and thus allow the piston to engage the coupler and perform a more effective and efficient delivery of material to the patient (Column 4, 5), since the structure and shape of the coupler allows for the efficient delivery of the material, thus making it an obvious modification to the bore of Alexandre et al. since the modified structure would provide the same benefit as taught in Loomis et al.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. In response to applicant's argument that Alexandre et al. and Loomis et al. are nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*. 977 F.2d 1443. 24 USPQ2d 1443

Art Unit: 3763

(Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both prior art devices are medical delivery devices, which are capable of delivery fluid. Therefore the examiner interprets the prior art as analogous art since both devices are needless syringes.

- 9. The examiner would also like to note that applicant is arguing each reference separately and not the combination of the two references. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 10. The applicant also fails to show the structure that is non-obvious from the combination of the references.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,574

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW F. DESANTO whose telephone number is (571)272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew DeSanto /Matthew F DeSanto/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763